Background paper: The concept of habitability in the field of population-environment studies: relevance and research implications

Marion Borderon, Harald Sterly, Patrick Sakdapolrak, Alex de Sherbinin, Susana Adamo, Francois Gemenne, Caroline Zickgraf, and Radley Horton

Available at: https://www.populationenvironmentresearch.org/cyberseminars/11007

Acknowledgments: This cyberseminar is a collaboration of the Population-Environment Research Network (PERN), the HABITABLE Project, and the Columbia Climate School's Managed Retreat Conference Series.

Introduction:

Based on current reports on the growing dynamics and impacts of climate change (UNEP 2022, Armstrong McKay et al. 2022, IPCC 2022, Romanello et al. 2022, Steel et al. 2022), it is increasingly clear that there are limits to adaptation to climate change: humanity faces both permanent transformations and temporary changes. This raises the question of whether declining habitability due to climate change and environmental degradation will lead to widespread migration, which could alter the spatial distribution of population on the planet. So far, the empirical evidence of sustained mass movements due to climate change remains sparse, now or in the recent past. Some mass movements induced by environmental degradation, such as the migration northwards of the ancient Egyptians or the Dust Bowl exodus in the US in the 1930s, have however had major historical significance.

The magnitude of climate impacts and of the expected loss and damage over the course of this century, together with increasing inequality, vulnerability and demographic change, makes it likely however that in many regions at least parts of the population will not be able to sustain their livelihoods or even survive *in situ* in the long term.

It is therefore not surprising that the concept of 'habitability', hitherto mainly known in astrophysics (e.g. Langmuir and Broecker 2012), is increasingly finding its way into climate studies and the geosciences (e.g. Horton et al. 2021; Farbotko and Campbell 2022). The debate on the short- and long-term habitability or uninhabitability of places as a consequence of environmental change and degradation is not entirely new (e.g. Storlazzi et al. 2015), but so far, the concept is not well elaborated, and its added value to existing concepts is unclear.

In this PERN cyberseminar we want to discuss the relevance and implications of the concept of habitability in the field of population-environment research through the following key aspects:

(1) The environmental aspects of habitability, and how to go beyond environmental determinism and the pitfalls of "carrying capacity"

The idea of "habitability" in the sense of the suitability of a place to support human life and livelihoods, is linked to ideas of "carrying capacity". Carrying capacity, defined as the ability of ecosystems to support human or animal populations (Osborn 1953, Ehrlich 1968, Hardin 1968), has been criticized as

being rooted in environmental determinism (Sayre 2008). However, it is a widespread notion and is often currently applied in rather neo-Malthusian framings (e.g. Fan et al. 2022). Malthusian notions of carrying capacity have, on the one hand, been challenged by technological innovations and continued growth (e.g. Boserup 1965, Simon 1981). On the other hand, increasingly apparent signs of environmental stress and boundaries for supporting growth and wellbeing, on regional and especially at the global scale (Rockstrom et al. 2009, de Sherbinin et al. 2007), recall the necessity to consider that the natural and material basis for human development has limits (Meadows and Randers 2004). If we want to fruitfully incorporate this into thinking of habitability, we need to address the limitations of both environmental as well as techno-social determinisms. It might, for example, be necessary to explicitly consider processes on different scales and at different sites, for example when habitability of places is extended or limited with local resources (e.g. gravity based water pumps for irrigation) or with resources from other places (e.g. with diesel pumps).

Discussion questions: Do we need a new concept that brings together environmental and social realities and their possible future(s)? What is the added value of questioning the population-environment interaction through the concept of habitability? How can environmental determinism be avoided?

(2) The concept of social-ecological systems dynamics and tipping points, and the assessment of habitability thresholds

The concept of social-ecological systems (SES), and the study of their interactions, dynamics and resilience provides an important basis for a process-oriented understanding of changes of habitability, especially aspects of non-linearity, multi-stability, thresholds and tipping points, and feedback loops (e.g. Berkes and Ross 2013). This gives important insights into the dynamic nature and temporal aspects of interacting, local to regional scale geo-ecological and social processes that we need to understand in order to understand changes in SES and habitability. However, social processes and dynamics are based on different operational logics and functioning than ecological (or large scale economic) systems (Adger 2000, Folke et al. 2016). In most SES and resilience approaches, a rather functionalist notion of society dominates, putting an emphasis on the conditioning influence of institutions, norms and values. Large parts of contemporary social theory stress the relevance of inequalities in endowment with resources and power, struggles for differential positioning in social fields, as well as discourses and domination (Olsson et al. 2015).

Discussion questions: Defining habitability means being able to measure habitability thresholds and tipping points: Are we equipped to do this? What does it imply empirically to study the habitability of a place or a socio-ecological system? Can we establish thresholds that underline that the system under study is or will no longer be habitable? Can we anticipate habitability thresholds in ways that prevent loss of life from disasters? Are there tipping points in social systems that may actually precede tipping points of habitability in physical systems?

(3) Habitability as influenced through place connectivity and translocal livelihoods

It is apparent that habitability thresholds are fluid and place and people specific. Moreover, as the process of globalization has progressed (Gallagher 2009), places and livelihoods are increasingly spatially interconnected, implying that thinking in terms of translocal livelihoods (i.e. Sakdapolrak et

al. 2016, Adger et al. 2002) could make more sense than measuring only the *in-situ* habitability of a place. Conceptualizing habitability thus means considering teleconnections and adopting a relational perspective, seeing places as connected across scales and space – a place might be linked through structures and flows with other places, but also with entities on national or global levels (Massey 2005). A typical example would be the remittances sent by migrants from the capital city that enable households to stay in places of origin despite increasingly severe climate risks (Sakdapolrak et al. forthcoming). Governments and powerful actors have strong roles in influencing the habitability of specific places, in order to improve territorial control or to safeguard resource sufficiency, for example by providing resources and infrastructure for irrigating desert areas or mining places in the Arctic. However, such influences can also worsen the habitability, for example through resource overuse or pollution. Adger et al. (2009) identify three *mechanisms* of tele-connectivity: biophysical linkages and feedbacks; economic market linkages; and flows of resources, people, and information. On the other side, immobility is not only problematic when people are unable to move away from existential risks and are "trapped" (Zickgraf 2018), but also when they cannot make use of migration or connectivity for coping with and buffering more quotidian risks.

Discussion questions: How to take into consideration teleconnections when defining and measuring habitability (and avoid similar shortcomings to that of the notion of carrying capacity)? How to make use of existing research on the connected and translocal character of livelihoods, populations and places?

(4) The idea of climate justice, inhabitability and social difference

Habitability is closely related with questions of climate justice, and this applies across scales from the global to the local: the impacts of climate change are unevenly distributed on a global scale: climate change disproportionately affects countries and societies that are already more vulnerable (IPCC 2022), but have in many cases contributed little in terms of historical emissions (Meyer and Roser 2010). Within countries, the heterogenous distribution of effects of climate change and the capacities to deal with them is creating challenges of justice and responsibility (Mathur et al. 2014). But also on the local level, the ability to deal with climate risks and the vulnerability of households and individuals are not equally spread. Therefore, habitability is not the same for everyone, but instead is distributed unequally along multiple intersecting axes of difference even on a micro-level. In addition, the question also arises of who has the right to decide on the (in)habitability of places, and with which consequences for people on the local level. These multi-scale differentiations of vulnerability and thus also how, and for whom, habitability "plays out" on these scales, require cross-scale considerations of the concepts of (in)habitability, vulnerability, and climate justice.

Discussion questions: Who gets to decide when habitability thresholds have been reached? Should governments have the right to decide areas that are restricted for development or slated for resettlement? How can local community views be incorporated? What does the loss of habitability mean for local populations — and especially the most vulnerable, who may lack the means to adapt or migrate? What does this mean with regard to multi-level climate justice?

(5) The emergence of literature on catastrophic climate change scenarios and existential risks, limits to adaptation, and the need for managed retreat

The argument of intolerable thresholds of climatic conditions for human beings (such as temperatures or rising water levels) that could reshape settlement patterns is being debated in the literature. While 1% of the Earth's space is considered uninhabitable due to extreme climatic conditions in 2020, researchers estimate that by 2070 this proportion could reach 20% if greenhouse gas emissions are not reduced (Xu et al. 2020). Despite the general capacity to adapt to changes, socioeconomic systems may face limits to adaptation when dangerous thresholds in vital biophysical, sociocultural, or economic systems are crossed (Dow et al. 2013). Limits to adaptation determined by social, economic and cultural factors – sometimes coined as "soft adaptation limits" – can potentially be overcome and transformed (Dow et al 2013). "Hard adaptation limits", on the other hand, arise when the human or ecosystems cannot adjust to new climate regimes, leading to unavoidable and potentially irreversible impacts (Roy et al. 2018). Recent literature points out that existential risks are still poorly framed, defined, and analyzed in the scientific literature (Kemp et al. 2022; Huggel et al. 2022) and engaging with the concept of habitability could help grapple with this challenge of better understanding catastrophic climate change. Thinking about (in)habitability also means considering the actions that can be taken when and where the limits of adaptation in situ are reached, such as organized retreat (Haasnoot et al. 2021) or resettlement (Oliver-Smith and de Sherbinin 2014). According to Ajibade and Siders (2022), states and communities across the globe have embarked on planned relocation, resettlement or managed retreat.

Discussion questions: Would conceptualising and operationalising habitability contribute to research on catastrophic scenarios, adaptation limits and existential risks? What does this mean in terms of the debate on adaptation strategies, when adaptation limits are reached?

Literature

Adger W.N., Dessai S., Goulden M., Hulme M., Lorenzoni I., Naess L.O., Wreford A. (2009): Are there social limits to adaptation to climate change? In: Climatic Change 93, 335-354. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-008-9520-z

Adger, W. N., Kelly, P. M., Winkels, A., Huy, L. Q., & Locke, C. (2002). Migration, remittances, livelihood trajectories, and social resilience. AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment, 31(4), 358-366.

Adger, W.N. (2000): Social and ecological resilience: are they related? Progress in Human Geography 24(3), 347-367, https://doi.org/10.1191/030913200701540465

Ajibade, I., Sullivan, M., Lower, C., Yarina, L., & Reilly, A. (2022). Are managed retreat programs successful and just? A global mapping of success typologies, justice dimensions, and trade-offs. *Global Environmental Change*, 76, 102576. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenycha.2022.102576

Armstrong McKay, D.I., Staal, A., Abrams, J.F., Winkelmann, R., Sakschewski, B., Loriani, S., Fetzer, I., Cornell, S.E., Rockström, J., Lenton, T. (2022): Exceeding 1.5°C global warming could trigger multiple climate tipping points, *Science* 377(6611), https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abn7950

Berkes, F., Ross, H. (2013): Community Resilience: Toward an Integrated Approach, Society & Natural Resources, 26(1), 5-20, https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2012.736605

de Sherbinin, A., D. Carr, S. Cassels, and L. Jiang. 2007. Population and Environment. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 32, 345-373. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2792934/.

Dow, K., Berkhout, F., Preston, B. *et al.* Limits to adaptation. *Nature Clim Change* **3**, 305–307 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1847

Ehrlich, P. R. (1968): The Population Bomb. Ballantine Books.

Fan, J.; Liang, B.; Liu, H.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, H.; Liu, B.; Chen, D. (2022). Impact on local sustainability of the northward expansion of human activities into protected areas in Northern Tibet. *Land Degradation and Development*, 1–15, https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.4366

Farbotko, C., & Campbell, J. (2022). Who defines atoll 'uninhabitability'?. Environmental Science & Policy, 138, 182-190.

Folke, C., Biggs, R., Norström, A.V., Reyers, B., Rockström, J. (2016): Social-ecological resilience and biosphere-based sustainability science. *Ecology and Society* 21(3):41, http://dx.doi.org/10.5751/ES-08748-210341

Gallagher, K.P. (2009). Economic globalization and the environment. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 34, 279-304.

Haasnoot, M., Lawrence, J., & Magnan, A. K. (2021). Pathways to coastal retreat. *Science*, 372(6548), 1287-1290. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abi6594

Hardin, G. (1968): The tragedy of the commons. Science, 162, 1243–1248. https://www.jstor.org/stable/1724745

Horton, R.M., de Sherbinin, A., Wrathall, D., Oppenheimer, M. (2021): Assessing human habitability and migration. Integrate global top-down and local bottom-up analyses. *Science* 372(6548), 1279-1283. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abi8603

Huggel, C., Bouwer, L.M., Juhola, S. *et al.* The existential risk space of climate change. *Climatic Change* **174**, 8 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-022-03430-y

IPCC (2018): Global Warming of 1.5°C.An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty [Masson-Delmotte V., Zhai P., Pörtner H.-O., Roberts D., Skea J., Shukla P.R., Pirani A., Moufouma-Okia W., Péan C., Pidcock R., Connors S., Matthews J.B.R., Chen Y., Zhou X., Gomis M.I., Lonnoy E., Maycock T., Tignor M., and Waterfield T. (eds.)].

IPCC (2022): Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, M. Tignor, E.S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Craig, S. Langsdorf, S. Löschke, V. Möller, A. Okem, B. Rama (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844

Kemp, L., Xu, C., Depledge, J., Ebi, K. L., Gibbins, G., Kohler, T. A., ... & Lenton, T. M. (2022). Climate Endgame: Exploring catastrophic climate change scenarios. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(34), e2108146119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2108146119

Langmuir, C. H., Broecker, W. (2012): How to Build a Habitable Planet. Princeton University Press.

Massey, D. (2005) For Space. SAGE, London.

Mathur, V.N., Afionis, S., Paavola, J., Dougill, A.J., Stringer, L.C. (2014): Experiences of host communities with carbon market projects: towards multi-level climate justice. Climate Policy 14(1), 42-62, https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2013.861728

Meadows, D., & Randers, J. (2004). The limits to growth: the 30-year update. Routledge.

Meyer, L.H., Roser, D. (2010): Climate justice and historical emissions. Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy 13(1): 229–253, https://doi-org.uaccess.univie.ac.at/10.1080/13698230903326349

Oliver-Smith, A., de Sherbinin, A. 2014. Something Old and Something New: Resettlement in the Twenty First Century. In: S. Martin et al. (eds). *Migration and Humanitarian Crises*. Oxon, UK: Routledge.

Olsson, L., Jerneck, A., Thorén, H., Persson, J., O'Byrne, D. (2015): Why resilience is unappealing to social science: theoretical and empirical investigations of the scientific use of resilience. Science Advances 1(4) e1400217, https://doi-org/10.1126/sciadv.1400217

Osborn, F. (1953): The limits of the earth. Challenge, 33-38.

Rockstrom, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, A., Chapin III, F. S., et al. (2009). A safe operating space for humanity: identifying and quantifying planetary boundaries that must not be transgressed could help prevent human activities from causing unacceptable environmental change. *Nature*, 461(7263), 472-476.

Romanello, M., Di Napoli, C., Drummond, P., Green, C., Kennard, H., Lampard, P., Scamman, D., Arnell, N., Ayeb-Karlsson, S. et al. (2022): The 2022 report of the Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: health at the mercy of fossil fuels, *The Lancet* 400(10363), p. 1619-1654, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)01540-9

Sakdapolrak, P., Naruchaikusol, S., Ober, K., Peth, S., Porst, L., Rockenbauch, T., & Tolo, V. (2016). Migration in a changing climate. Towards a translocal social resilience approach. *DIE ERDE – Journal of the Geographical Society of Berlin*, 147(2), 81-94. https://doi.org/10.12854/erde-147-6

Sakdapolrak, P., Borderon, M., Sterly, H. (accepted): The limits of migration as adaptation. A conceptual approach towards the role of immobility, disconnectedness and simultaneous exposure in translocal livelihoods systems. *Climate and Development*. https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2023.2180318

Sayre, N.F. (2012): The Genesis, History, and Limits of Carrying Capacity. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 98(1), p. 120-134. https://doi.org/10.1080/00045600701734356

Steel, D., DesRoches, C.T., Mintz-Woo, K. (2022): Climate change and the threat to civilization. *PNAS* 119(42), e2210525119, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2210525119

Storlazzi, C., Elias, E., Berkowitz, P (2015): Many Atolls May be Uninhabitable Within Decades Due to Climate Change. *Scientific Reports* **5**, 14546, https://doi-org.uaccess.univie.ac.at/10.1038/srep14546

United Nations Environment Programme (2022): *Emissions Gap Report 2022: The Closing Window — Climate crisis calls for rapid transformation of societies*. Nairobi. https://www.unep.org/emissions-gap-report-2022

Xu, C., Kohler, T.A., Lenton, T.M., Svenning, J.-C., Scheffer, M. (2020). Future of the human climate niche. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(21), 11350–11355. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1910114117

Zickgraf, C. (2018): Immobility. In: McLeman, R. and Gemenne, F. (Eds): Routledge Handbook of Environmental Displacement and Migration, Routledge, Abington, p 71-84.